

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,660	12/18/2001	Monika Blumm	A-2958	1769
7590 02/10/2004			EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			CRENSHAW, MARVIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Hollywood, 1 L		•	2854	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/022,660	BLUMM ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marvin P. Crenshaw	2854			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the period	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension			
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contr	the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 December 2003</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):				
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 7-9 and 11 - 16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other: The applicant's amendment does not overcome	the prior art.	L HARLS			
	SUPE	ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)